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INTRODUCTION

Challenge of editors

• Find a sufficiently large group of reviewers who
  – are willing to serve the journal
  – produce, on-time, balanced scholarly reviews which help the editor’s decision-making
RECRUITING REVIEWERS

Challenges

• Type of reviewers
  – aims and scope of journal

• Source of reviewers
  – “inclusion” & “exclusion” criteria

• Soliciting potential reviewers
RETAINING REVIEWERS

Challenges

• Lack of time
• Relevance to area of interest
• Convenience of review process
• Recognition
RETAINING REVIEWERS

Lack of time

• Usual problem for busy researchers, academics or clinicians
• Very important not to overload reviewers
  – editor must have oversight
  – esp. over deputies
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Manuscript ID</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Date Completed</th>
<th>Status</th>
<th>View Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>RAD-1</td>
<td>Lesions of the biceps pulley: Diagnostic accuracy of MR arthrography of the shoulder and evaluation of established and new diagnostic criteria [View Submission]</td>
<td>16-Oct-2011</td>
<td>• Accept with Revisions (25-Nov-2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• a revision has been started</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAD-1</td>
<td>Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Osteoradionecrosis of the Upper Cervical Spine Following Radiotherapy for Head and Neck Cancer: Differentiation from Recurrent or Metastatic Disease Running Title: MRI of upper C spine osteoradionecrosis [View Submission]</td>
<td>12-Sep-2011</td>
<td>• Accept with Revisions (07-Oct-2011)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• a revision has been submitted</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAD-1</td>
<td>Reduction of metal artifacts at MR imaging with Slice Encoding Metal Artifact Correction (SEMAC) and View-Angle Tilting (VAT) in patients with total hip arthroplasty: Performance of STIR and T1-weighted sequences [View Submission]</td>
<td>15-Dec-2011</td>
<td>• Under Consideration (10-Jan-2012)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RAD-1</td>
<td>In Assessing Local Recurrence Following Surgical Resection of Soft Tissue Tumors by Unenhanced MRI, do Additional Gadolinium-enhanced Images Change Reader Confidence or Diagnosis? [View Submission]</td>
<td>12-Jan-2012</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
RETAINING REVIEWERS

Relevance to area of interest

• Accurate matching of manuscript to potential reviewer
  – topic of interest
  – relevance to own current work
  – contribution of paper to own area of interest/ subspecialty
RETAINING REVIEWERS

Convenience of review process

• Editorial workflow
  – should be efficient and hassle-free
  – courteous request letters
  – check availability a must
  – ease of reviewing process
  – note of thanks post review
Indian Journal of Cancer

admin@indianjcancer.com to me

Dear Prof. Peh,

May we request you to give your valuable opinion on manuscript entitled 'Misdiagnosis of the Effect of Granulocyte-Colony Stimulating Factor on Magnetic Resonance Imaging in a Leukemia Patient'. The manuscript has been submitted to the Indian Journal of Cancer for consideration for publication.

The manuscript is uploaded for your review at our web-based peer review site http://www.journalonweb.com/ijc.

If you face any difficulty with the system, please get back to us.

Thanking you,

Sincerely yours,

Editor

Indian Journal of Cancer

Click on link or copy paste the URL in browser
To view article -> http://www.journalonweb.com/ijc/vrex.asp?a=v&id=wilfred.peh&em=wilfred.peh@gmail.com&mid=IJC_193_11
To accept to review article -> http://www.journalonweb.com/ijc/vrex.asp?a=a&id=wilfred.peh&em=wilfred.peh@gmail.com&mid=IJC_193_11
To decline reviewing this article -> http://www.journalonweb.com/ijc/vrex.asp?a=d&id=wilfred.peh&em=wilfred.peh@gmail.com&mid=IJC_193_11

To access to the article please use following username and password.
Dear Professor Peh:

We have received manuscript RAD-123456 entitled, "In Assessing Local Recurrence Following Surgical Resection of Soft Tissue Tumors by Unenhanced MRI, do Additional Gadolinium-enhanced Images Change Reader Confidence or Diagnosis?" and have selected you as a potential reviewer. For your information, the Abstract of the manuscript is found below. Your review will be due in 14 days.

You may e-mail me with your reply or click the appropriate link below to automatically register your reply with our online manuscript submission and review system.

Once you accept our invitation to review this manuscript, you will be notified via e-mail about how to access Manuscript Central, our online manuscript submission and review system. You will then have access to the manuscript and reviewer instructions in your Reviewer Center.

I look forward to hearing from you as soon as possible so that reviewer assignment can be accomplished quickly.

Agreed: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com.rad?URL_MASK=Ss5CKCnbmhdPCMfTSPj4

Declined: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com.rad?URL_MASK=4GFDj3qx2sPwJXTPfyBf

(Alternatively, if you are not able to review this manuscript because you will be unavailable for a specific period of time, please click the reply button and inform us of your unavailable dates.)

Deborah Hogan
RADIOLOGY Editorial Office
617-236-7376

Abstract:
Purpose
1. Evaluate if gadolinium enhanced MR imaging (GeMRI) improves confidence or changes the final diagnosis in the assessment of musculoskeletal (MSK) tumor residual or recurrence following surgical resection
2. Assess if different experience levels change the above results.
Methods and Materials
Initially, pre-contrast images were independently reviewed by 2 radiologists, one with 25 years of experience (R1) and one undergoing MSK specialty training (R2). Three questions were answered: 1. Mass present? 2. Cystic, necrotic, solid? 3. Likelihood of malignancy? Subsequently, both pre-contrast and post-contrast images were independently reviewed. The same questions were again answered plus 6 others including if GeMRI: better defined cystic versus solid, better defined extent, improved conspicuity, changed confidence, and changed final diagnosis.

http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com.rad?URL_MASK=Ss5CKCnbmhdPCMfTSPj4

Declined: http://mc.manuscriptcentral.com.rad?URL_MASK=4GFDj3qx2sPwJXTPfyBf

(Alternatively, if you are not able to review this manuscript because you will be unavailable for a specific period of time, please click the reply button and inform us of your unavailable dates.)

Deborah Hogan
RADIOLOGY Editorial Office
617-236-7376
Reviewer: Wilfred CG Peh
Manuscript ID: YMJ
Title: Differences between magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography–myelography for quantitative evaluation of lumbar intracanal lesion cross-sections

Dear Dr. Wilfred CG Peh:

Recently, I sent you to review manuscript entitled "Differences between magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography–myelography for quantitative evaluation of lumbar intracanal lesion cross-sections" I have not yet to hear from you about this.

This e-mail is simply a reminder to review. I appreciate your help in accomplishing our goal of having an expedited reviewing process. Please do not hesitate to contact me if I can be of any assistance.

Sincerely yours,

In-Hong Choi, M.D., Ph.D.
Editor-in-Chief
Yonsei Medical Journal
Dear Dr. Wilfred CG Peh:

Greetings from the Yonsei Medical Journal. We've received well your valuable comments about the submitted paper titled "Differences between magnetic resonance imaging and computed tomography–myelography for quantitative evaluation of lumbar intracanalar lesion cross-sections".

It will be greatly contributed to the high standards for the Journal, and we thank you for your participation as a referee.

Thanks for your cooperation.

Sincerely yours,

Yonsei Medical Journal
http://www.eymj.org
RETAINING REVIEWERS

Recognition

• By journal
• Department
• Institution
• National
  – e.g. CME accreditation
The American Roentgen Ray Society offers CME credit for manuscript review.

Accreditation Statement
The American Roentgen Ray Society (ARRS) is accredited by the Accreditation Council for Continuing Medical Education (ACCME) to provide continuing medical education activities for physicians.

Designation Statement
The ARRS designates this educational activity for a maximum of 3 AMA PRA Category 1 Credit(s). The physician should only claim credit commensurate with the extent of their participation in the activity.
The American Medical Association has determined that physicians not licensed in the US who participate in this CME activity are eligible for AMA PRA Category 1 Credit(s).

Target Audience: Physicians and other radiology professionals who review manuscripts submitted to the AJR for possible publication.

Goals and Objectives: After completing the review of the manuscript assigned to the individual reviewer by one of the editors of the AJR, the reviewer should have improved his or her skills in performing critical analyses of the medical literature and his or her knowledge of current issues and developments in the field of radiology and allied sciences. This improved skill level and knowledge base should result in increased skill in reviewing and authoring future journal articles, as well as improved behavior and performance in practice.

Disclosure of Commercial Interest: The authors of this article about to be reviewed, and the Editor-in-Chief, have indicated that they do not have a financial relationship with a commercial organization that may have a direct or indirect interest in the subject matter being presented.

If your review is deemed acceptable by the Section Editor, then this CME activity may be eligible for (3) CME credits.

The main contact point for the Peer Review staff is:

Ann Earwood
Peer Review Specialist
American Roentgen Ray Society
Phone: 703-658-4331
Email: AJRsubmit@arrs.org

We thank you in advance for your time and continued support of the journal.

Best regards,

Donna G. Blankenbaker, MD
AJR Section Editor
Information For Registered Doctors

Continuing Medical Education

Continuing medical education (CME) consists of educational activities that serve to maintain, develop or increase the knowledge, skills and professional performance of a doctor.

Compulsory CME

Beyond medical school and housemanship, each doctor needs to keep himself or herself current with changes in medicine generally and in areas relevant to his own practice in particular. Patients and society at large also want to be assured that the doctors they consult are practising up-to-date medicine and offering them good quality care. For these reasons, the Singapore Medical Council (SMC) has made CME compulsory for all doctors in Singapore with effect from 1 January 2003.

Since 1 January 2005, all fully and conditionally registered doctors renewing their practising certificates (PCs) are required to meet the compulsory CME requirements for their CME qualifying period(s) before their PCs are renewed.

The SMC-CME Coordinating Committee accredits CME programmes / activities and reviews CME policies and programmes. The Committee includes representatives from the Academy of Medicine Singapore, College of Family Physicians Singapore, Singapore Medical Association, as well as doctors working in both the public and private sectors.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Criteria</th>
<th>Credit Points Awarded</th>
<th>Submitted by</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Authorship</strong> of original paper in <em>Refereed Journals / MOH Clinical Practice Guidelines (CPGs) / Medical Textbook</em></td>
<td>5 CME points per paper / MOH CPG / textbook chapter</td>
<td>Medical Practitioner</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Main Author</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Subsidiary Author</td>
<td>2 CME points per paper / MOH CPG / textbook chapter</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Authorship</strong> of modules for Online Education or Distance-Learning Programmes (DLP)</td>
<td>2 CME points per module</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Editorial Work / Reviewer</strong> for <em>Refereed Journals and Medical Textbooks</em></td>
<td>5 CME points per journal / textbook</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Chief Editor</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Subsidiary Editor</td>
<td>2 CME points per journal / textbook</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>- Reviewer</td>
<td><strong>2 CME points per journal / textbook</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Presentation</strong> of <em>Original Paper / Poster (regardless of duration)</em></td>
<td>2 CME points per paper / poster</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Doctors can claim CME points for being the main / subsidiary author, chief / subsidiary editor, reviewer or presenter for the above CME activities. These publications must not be published more than 2 years prior to making the CME claims.
REWARDING REVIEWERS

Challenges

• Financial incentives
• Non-financial incentives
• Exceptional reviewers
REWARDING REVIEWERS

Financial incentives

• Very few journals can afford this
• Are reviewers really financially motivated?
REWARDING REVIEWERS

Financial incentives

• Payment to reviewers (v rare)
• Free journal subscription? (rare)
• Free reprints for limited period
• Free access to journal database
REWARDING REVIEWERS

Financial incentives

• Discount off
  – manuscript submission fee
  – purchase of society publications
  – congress fee
  – society subscription
Canadian Association of Radiologists Journal

Dear Professor Peh,

I would greatly appreciate your assistance in the review of a manuscript that has recently been submitted. Are you able to review the following manuscript for the CANADIAN ASSOCIATION OF RADIOLOGISTS JOURNAL:

Article Title: An overview of Vertebroplasty: current status, controversies and future directions
Manuscript Number: CAR-J-D

I have included the abstract of the manuscript below to provide you with an overview:

ABSTRACT:
Vertebroplasty is a cost-effective procedure for the relief of pain in appropriately selected patients when performed by a skilled practitioner. The currently accepted indications and contraindications for vertebroplasty are reviewed. The techniques routinely employed by the authors are presented, including a discussion of recognized complications. Recent controversy has highlighted weaknesses in the practice of technology evaluation, and future more robust studies will be required to address these issues across the board in the future more scientifically than has been done in the past.

Your expert opinion would greatly help me in deciding whether to publish and the changes that might be suggested to the authors. Furthermore the time you spend reviewing this manuscript can be used for continuing professional development credits.

If you accept this invitation, your comments will be due in 21 days. If you are unable to act as a reviewer at this time, I would greatly appreciate your suggestions for alternate reviewers.

If you are willing to review this manuscript, please click on the link below:
http://ees.elsevier.com/canj.asp?id=23106&MD2W14G

If you are unable, please click on the link below. We would appreciate receiving suggestions for alternative reviewers:

Alternatively, you may register your response by accessing the Elsevier Editorial System for CANADIAN ASSOCIATION OF RADIOLOGISTS JOURNAL as a REVIEWER using the login credentials below:

To help you review this manuscript you are granted free access to Scopus for 30 days after accepting this invitation. Scopus is the largest abstract and citation database of research literature and quality web sources. With Scopus, it is easy to find references, similar articles or papers by the same authors.

Yours sincerely,

Sincerely,

Savvas Nicolaou, MD, FRCPC
Deputy Editor
CANADIAN ASSOCIATION OF RADIOLOGISTS JOURNAL
Thank you for the review of CARJ-D-

1 message

Canadian Association of Radiologist Journal <eesserver@eesmail.elsevier.com> 16 March 2017 at 19:30
Reply-To: Canadian Association of Radiologist Journal <editor@car.ca>
To: wilfred.peh@gmail.com

Ms. Ref. No.: CARJ-D-
Title: Quantitative Magnetic Resonance Imaging of Meniscal Pathology Ex Vivo
CANADIAN ASSOCIATION OF RADIOLOGISTS JOURNAL

Dear Professor Wilfred CG Peh,

Thank you for taking the time to review the above-referenced manuscript.

To access your comments, please do the following:
1. Go to this URL: https://ees.elsevier.com/carj/
2. Enter your login details
3. Click [Reviewer Login]

Thank you again for sharing your time and expertise.

If you have not yet activated or completed your 30 days of access to Scopus and ScienceDirect, you can still access them via this link:

http://scopees.elsevier.com/ees_login.asp?journalacronym=CARJ&username=wilfred.peh@gmail.com

You can use your EES password to access Scopus and ScienceDirect via the URL above. You can save your 30 days access period, but access will expire 6 months after you accepted to review.

Yours sincerely,

Peter L Munk, MDCM, FRCPC, FSIR
Editor
CANADIAN ASSOCIATION OF RADIOLOGISTS JOURNAL
Thank you for your review of BJR-D-16 entitled "Low-grade Myofibroblastic Sarcoma: Clinical and Imaging Findings".

You can access the review comments as well as the final disposition (when available) by logging on to Editorial Manager as a Reviewer at http://bjr.edmgr.com/

The submission will be in your Completed Assignments folder.

As a token of our appreciation for your assistance, we are pleased to advise you that a copy of this message may be used as a voucher with a nominal value of £20. The voucher is not transferable and cannot be used in conjunction with any other offer.

1. Set against the purchase price of a BIR publication - for a BIR member this would be the discounted price. For details regarding BIR publications visit http://www.bir.org.uk/publications/

2. Set against the cost of a BIR meeting. For details regarding BIR meetings please visit http://www.bir.org.uk/education-and-events/

3. For a non-member, reduction in the cost of the first year's subscription on becoming a BIR member. For details regarding BIR membership visit http://www.bir.org.uk/join-us/
REWARDING REVIEWERS

Non-financial incentives

• Acknowledgement of contribution
• Feedback about manuscript fate
• Invitations to social events
TO: Prof. Wilfred C.G. Peh
Khoo Teck Puat Hospital

RE: Editor Decision for Journal Review - AJR MS 17-

Dear Dr. Peh,

We would like to thank you again for your time and efforts in preparing a review of the above referenced manuscript. The decision of the editors was: Reconsider with Minor Revision.

If the authors choose to resubmit their paper with revisions, you may be asked to review the edited version. We hope that you will be willing to help us with further reviews of this manuscript.

MANUSCRIPT NO: 17-
REVIEW SUBMITTED ON: February 27, 2017
SECTION OR SUBSPECIALTY: Musculoskeletal Imaging
MANUSCRIPT TYPE: Solicited - Review
ENTITLED: Advance Magnetic Resonance Imaging Techniques for the Ankle

DECISION LETTER SENT TO THE AUTHOR:

To: *******
From: "AJR Journal Staff" ajrsubmit@arrs.org
Subject: Decision Letter for AJR MS 17-
2013 RadioGraphics Reviewer Breakfast is Tuesday, December 3rd

5 October 2013 at 03:20

Elizabeth Allen <eallen@rsna.org>
Reply-To: eallen@rsna.org
To: wilfred.peh@gmail.com

Dear Dr. Peh:

I hope that you will attend the 2013 RadioGraphics Reviewers’ Breakfast during this year’s RSNA Annual Meeting. The breakfast will be held **Tuesday, December 3rd, from 7:00 to 8:30 AM in the Lakeside Center, Room E253AB.** We hold this event every year as a gesture of our appreciation of your efforts on behalf of the Journal.

Please RSVP by replying to this e-mail (eallen@rsna.org) by October 28th.

Thank you and we hope to see you at the breakfast.

---

ARRS 117th Annual Meeting-AJR Reviewers Luncheon Invitation

19 February 2017 at 02:48

Shannon Hamilton <shamilton@arrs.org>
To: "wilfred.peh@gmail.com" <wilfred.peh@gmail.com>

Dear Dr. Peh,

By now, you should have received information about the 2017 ARRS Annual Meeting, April 30-May 5, 2017, in New Orleans, LA. For those of you planning on attending, I hope you will be able to join your fellow AJR reviewers and me at a special AJR Reviewers Luncheon on Monday, May 1. The Luncheon is one small way ARRS can say “Thank you” for the vital contributions reviewers make all year to the AJR and to the profession.

The luncheon will be held in the Imperial 5 room, Hyatt Regency New Orleans from 12:00 to 1:00 p.m. If you have not yet registered for the ARRS Annual Meeting, you may want to do so before March 31 when registration closes for the meeting. Your meeting registration badge will be necessary for admission to the luncheon.
Volunteer Recognition Reception

As a volunteer with the Canadian Association of Radiologists, we would like to thank you for all your valuable contributions to the Association and to the profession of radiology. The CAR exists because of volunteers like you.

In recognition of these contributions, we would like to invite you to the

CAR Wine & Cheese Reception
Saturday, April 22, 2017
5:30 p.m.

Le Centre Sheraton Montreal
Salle de bal est, Level 4
1201 René Lévesque Blvd. West
Montreal, Quebec

This event will be held during the CAR 80th Annual Scientific Meeting.

We look forward to seeing you there.
REWARDING REVIEWERS

Exceptional reviewers

• Editor’s assessment system
  – grading by number, quality and timeliness
• Certificate of distinction
• Appointment to Editorial Board
Personal Classifications (* indicates match with document)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Classification</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>* 210: General Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210.090: Hepatobiliary Surgery</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>210.110: Pancreatic Surgery</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Current Review Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Date Last Agreed</th>
<th>Reviews in Progress</th>
<th>Outstanding Invitations</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>May 16, 2011</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Historical Reviewer Invitation Statistics

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Invitations</th>
<th>Agreed to Review</th>
<th>Declined to Review</th>
<th>Un-invited Before Agreeing to Review</th>
<th>Terminated Before Agreeing to Review</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>23</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Historical Reviewer Performance Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Total Completed Reviews</th>
<th>Submitted on Time</th>
<th>Submitted Late</th>
<th>Un-assigned After Agreeing to Review</th>
<th>Terminated After Agreeing to Review</th>
<th>Date Last Review Completed</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>20</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>Oct 13, 2011</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Historical Reviewer Averages

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Days to Respond to Invitation</th>
<th>Days to Complete Review</th>
<th>Days Late</th>
<th>% of Reminders</th>
<th>Manuscript Rating</th>
<th>Review Rating</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>58.16</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Reviewer Recommendation Summary

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Action</th>
<th>Count</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Accept</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Major Revision</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Minor Revision</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Reject</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The Singapore Medical Journal Reviewer Recognition Awards 2016

The successful publication of a peer-reviewed journal requires substantial contribution of time and effort by numerous reviewers. I am pleased to specially highlight a group of individuals who will now receive well-deserved credit for the high quality of their timely, detailed and scholarly reviews, i.e. the recipients of the 2016 SMJ Reviewer Recognition Awards. Each award recipient will receive a Certificate of Reviewing with Distinction and a letter of congratulations, underscoring the importance of reviewing as an academic and professional activity. Our dedicated Editorial Board members are already recognised each month on the SMJ masthead, and are thus not eligible for this award.

Poh Kian Keong
Editor-in-Chief

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Abdul Lateef Fatimah</th>
<th>Chow Li Ping Angela</th>
<th>Lim Yean Chin</th>
<th>Tan Tong-Khee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ang Eng Tat</td>
<td>Das Undurli N</td>
<td>Loke Kah Yin</td>
<td>Tay Yong Kwang</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aw Chen Wee</td>
<td>Goh E Shaun</td>
<td>Lye Chien Boon David</td>
<td>Teng Cheong Lieng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Balakrishnan Ashokka</td>
<td>Goh Siang Hong</td>
<td>Nalliah Sivalingam</td>
<td>Tiah Ling</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chandran Rajkumar</td>
<td>Htwe Than Than</td>
<td>Ng Chi-Yong James</td>
<td>Tien Sim Leng</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chee Bin Eng Cynthia</td>
<td>Kajiya Takashi</td>
<td>Ong Biauw Chi</td>
<td>Tor Pern Chern</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chen Yongqiang Jerry</td>
<td>Koh Keng Hee</td>
<td>Ong Kiat Hoe</td>
<td>Wan Yi Min</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cheng Kui Sing Anton</td>
<td>Koh Kwong Fah</td>
<td>Phua Eng Joo</td>
<td>Wong BS Steven</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chew Chee Ping</td>
<td>Kojodjojo Pipin</td>
<td>Poon Woel Bing</td>
<td>Wong Siang Yih Andrew</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chew Min Hoe</td>
<td>Lapeña Fabella Jose Florencio</td>
<td>Salcido-Ochoa Francisco</td>
<td>Wong Teck Yee</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chiow Adrian</td>
<td>Lee Wei Rhen Warren</td>
<td>Tan Hock Heng</td>
<td>Yuen Heng Wai</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cho Li Wei</td>
<td>Lim Patrick</td>
<td>Tan Lay Kok</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chotirmall Sanjay Haresh</td>
<td>Lim Wei Ming Wilfred</td>
<td>Tan Ming Yee Giles</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Editor’s Recognition Award 2007
for reviewing with
Distinction
is presented to

Wilfred Peh, MD

In recognition of outstanding service as a reviewer of scientific manuscripts submitted for publication in

European Journal of Radiology
European Journal of Radiology Extra

Herwig Imhof, MD
Vienna, January 2008

Editor, European Journal of Radiology
Radiology

Editor’s Recognition Award
for reviewing with
Distinction

is presented to

Wilfred C.G. Peh, MD, FRCP, FRCR

in recognition of outstanding service as a reviewer of scientific manuscripts submitted for publication in Radiology.

Herbert Y. Kressel, MD

Herbert Y. Kressel, MD
Editor, Radiology

December 2010
Certificate of Distinction

Awarded to

Dr Wilfred Peh

Skeletal Radiology wishes to recognize your contribution to the Journal as a reviewer of distinction.

This certificate signifies that in quantity, timeliness, and quality of your work on behalf of the Journal during 2010 was above and beyond the call of duty.

The Editors offer sincere thanks,

Murali Sundaram, MD
Daniel Rosenthal, MD
Juerg Hodler, MD
2012 *Journal of Vascular and Interventional Radiology* (JVIR) Editor’s Award for Distinguished Reviewer. *Dr. Suresh Babu Balasubramanian.*

Award presented in San Francisco, 2012
The British Institute of Radiology

most gratefully acknowledges the contribution of

Wilfred Peh

for his role as Senior Editor of *BJR* in 2016

---

**Email:**

Amazon.com Gift Card <go-orders@go.email.amazon.com>

Reply-To: go-orders@go.email.amazon.com

To: wilfred.peh@gmail.com

**Date:** 24 March 2017 at 03:50

Dear Wilfred,

Thank you for your hard work as a BJR Senior Editor in 2016, it is enormously appreciated. Here's a token of our appreciation, hopefully you can use it to buy something exciting! We look forward to working with you in 2017,

BJR Team

$60.00

Amazon.com Gift Card

---

[Logo: Khoo Teck Puat Hospital]

Alexandra Health
SUMMARY

• Retaining and rewarding (good) reviewers are a challenge for journal editors

• Good reviewers are worth their weight (in gold) and deserve innovative efforts to keep them
THANK YOU FOR YOUR ATTENTION

Pahang, Malaysia

Tagaytay Highlands, The Philippines

Hue, Vietnam